

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Inited States Patent and Trademark Office didress COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 According 100 pins; 22313-1450

_					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,594	04/05/2001	Dieter Kantz	GR 00 P 1679	4825	
7590 12/02/2003 LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A. Post Office Box 2480			EXAM	EXAMINER KARLSEN, ERNEST F	
			KARLSEN		
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/826,594	KANTZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	Γ				
	Ernest F. Karlsen	2829					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is test than thirty (70) days, a repl- 4 fNC period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or A prophyrecewed by the Office later than there months after the mailing earned patent term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this of	ty. :ommunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	ugust 2003.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 7-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 8-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 20-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a	s have been received. s have been received in Applintly documents have been rec ut (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not rec c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 st sentence of the specificatio poissional application has been c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	ication No	al application) n Data Sheet.				
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) J.	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No nal Patent Application (PT					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/826,594

Art Unit: 2829

Claims 1 and 8-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions and or/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 0503.

Claims 7 and 20-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The exact meaning of the word "areally" is still not clear. In the previous Office Action the Examiner requested an exact definition but no definition was provided. The source of the exact definition should also be provided. It is still not clear what the composition of the radiation-absorbing material is so one wishing to make and use the apparatus would be left to hunt for a suitable material. This rejection was previously made and Applicants responded that one skilled in the art would know what material to use. Such explanation is not acceptable.

Claims 7 and 20-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. A semiconductor wafer having IC elements formed on or in the wafer is like a piece of plastic with coins embedded in the plastic not like a piece of plastic with coins laying on top of the piece of plastic. Claim 20, lines 2-6

Art Unit: 2829

read like the analogy of coins on top of a piece of plastic and it is noted that Figure 5A corresponds to claim 20. It is not clear from where support for claim 20 is drawn.

Exactly what is shown in Figure 5A is not clear. The word "areally" does not appear in the specification specifically with respect to Figure 5A. The specification states that a the solar cell is disposed over the whole area on the surface of the semiconductor wafer 10. The specification says "on the surface" of the semiconductor wafer. This is presumably under the chips. The use of the word "areally" in claim 20 is considered to be without proper support. This rejection was made previously and Applicants responded, in effect, that the chips are like coins placed on the sheet of plastic.

Applicants have no disclosure for such structure and such structure is contrary to normal "wafer with chips" structure.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 20, 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully anticipated by Cook et al. Cook et al at column 4, lines 57-63 indicate they position the solar cell in the kerf for supplying power to the chips. The wafer of Cook et al has an area with a plurality of chips form in the area and each of the chips has a self-test unit generating test information for functionally testing the chip. See columns 3 and 4 of

Art Unit: 2829

Cook et al where it is indicated that power from a light responsive diode device powers a ring oscillator on a chip to determine a property of the chip. Characteristics of the chip modulate the output of the ring oscillator which causes a radio frequency signal to be radiated and sensed by an external device which analyzes the signal to determine properties of the chip. In Cook et al a light is positioned adjacent to the diodes and is thus an energy source that is above the semiconductor wafer and the diodes are connected to a chip or chips. The diodes form a solar cell that generates operating energy for the ring oscillator on each chip. The diodes that form a solar cell are disposed, "areally" or otherwise, over the semiconductor chips. Note that the words "above" and "over" used in the last 8 lines of claim 20 are terms normally related to orientation in the gravitational field of the planet Earth. If the wafer of Cook et al is orientated so that its flat surface is parallel to the surface of Earth, then the diodes would be alongside of a chip or chips. Turn the wafer 90 degrees so that its flat plane is perpendicular to the surface of Earth and the diodes might be below, above or along side the chip or chips. Above, below and along side of are considered equivalent terms in the context of the claims. Cook et al transmits data via the modulated and radiated rf signal from the ring oscillator thus meeting the limitation added by claim 23. The chips of Cook et al are powered by separate diodes and are thus decoupled from each other as per the limitation added by claim 27.

This application contains claims 1 and 8-19 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 0503. A complete reply to the final rejection must include

Application/Control Number: 09/826,594

Art Unit: 2829

cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See

MPEP § 821.01.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ernest F.

Karlsen at telephone number 703-305-4768.

Frnest F. Karlsen

November 28, 2003

ERNEST KARLSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Enet Itailen

Page 5